

## Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit [www.landfire.gov](http://www.landfire.gov). Please direct questions to [helpdesk@landfire.gov](mailto:helpdesk@landfire.gov).

### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R5BSOW

Interior Highlands Dry Oak/Bluestem Woodland/Glade

### General Information

**Contributors** (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")

#### Modelers

Paul Nelson                      pwnelson@fs.fed.us

#### Reviewers

Roger D. Fryar                      rfryar@fs.fed.us  
Doug Zollner                      dzollner@tnc.org  
Maria Melnechuk                      maria\_melnechuk@tnc.o  
rg

#### Vegetation Type

Woodland

#### Dominant Species\*

QUST  
QUVE  
QUMU  
SCHIZ4

#### General Model Sources

- Literature  
 Local Data  
 Expert Estimate

#### LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

44  
43  
49

#### Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- California                       Pacific Northwest  
 Great Basin                       South Central  
 Great Lakes                       Southeast  
 Northeast                       S. Appalachians  
 Northern Plains                       Southwest  
 N-Cent.Rockies

### Geographic Range

Missouri Ozark Highlands, southern Illinois, portions of northern Arkansas and eastern Oklahoma. This vegetation type occupied primarily rocky, well drained to somewhat excessively drained dissected plains, hills and breaks with increasing importance where in transition with upland prairies and savannas. Important ecoregions in Missouri included the White River Hills, Osage River Hills, Gasconade River Hills, Meramec River Hills and Current River Hills subsections, especially where these subsections transected the Central Plateau Subsection.

### Biophysical Site Description

Soils are well to somewhat excessively drained, shallow to moderately deep with an extremely acid to moderately acid soil reaction in areas underlain by chert, sandstone and igneous rock while neutral to basic in areas underlain by dolomite or limestone. These occupy moderately dissected to deeply dissected borders of undulating plains, especially those regions bordering the Central Plateau Subsection of the Ozark Highlands. The moisture regime is adequate to allow tree and shrub seedling establishment in the absence of fire. Elevations range from 1,700 to 1,000 feet in the St. Francois Mountains Subsection, and between 1,500 feet to as low as 400 feet along the southeastern portion of the Ozarks Plateau. Precipitation ranges from 40 to 45 inches fairly evenly distributed over the growing season. Descriptions include all dry woodland types, dolomite glades, sandstone glades and igneous glades described in Nelson (2005); CES202.692, CES202.691, CES202.707 in the Terrestrial Ecological Systems of the Great Lakes Region. Most of this group is included in Kuchler's. A rule set based on these PNVs, current cover, precipitation, elevation, aspect, and growing days will be needed to spatially map this type.

### Vegetation Description

Historic range of variability: Mixed oak, and to a lesser extent some shortleaf pine locally within its narrower range, formed a dominant open canopy ranging from as low as 30% (less than 10% in expansive,

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

open glades of southwest Missouri) to as high as 80%. Understory is generally sparse with an abundant ground layer of perennial grasses, sedges and forbs. The understory consisted of variable-age oak regeneration, some scattered shrubs. Densities vary widely depending on the random nature of historical ignition sources. Highly diverse groundcover vegetation consisting of many flammable forbs interspersed in warm season grasses left this fuel type susceptible to rapid drying, moderate fire spread rates and area coverage. Post oak and black oak codominate with incursions of of blackjack oak and shortleaf pine in acidic soils formed by chert, sandstone and igneous substrates. Chinquapin oak and post oak codominate on soils underlain by dolomite/limestone -- especially in association with dolomite glades. Because of modern-day fire suppression and a 100 year history of domestic livestock overgrazing of grass/forb fuels, the current vegetation will usually be dominated by second growth trees and shrubs (red, scarlet, black oaks and red cedar).

### Disturbance Description

Historically, variable fire and native herbivore grazing patterns maintained a wide diversity of variably aged layers of oaks and shrubs among a uniform grass/forb groundcover. Frequent surface fires promoted an open understory dominated by a groundcover of grasses and forbs. Drought-prone glade and other shallow or bedrock natural communities remained open for longer periods following fire or severe drought disturbance, especially glades. Stand replacement fires likely occurred during extreme drought but were limited in extent by the inability of fires to rapidly spread over often dissected plains or near permanent waterways. Frequent fire dominated this vegetation group through replacement fires associated with productive grass fuels and cycles of moisture and drought. Native ungulate grazing may have played a small role in replacement where buffalo and elk concentrated, but fire generally maintained systems. Drought and moist cycles play a strong role interacting with both fire and native grazing. Wind, tornados and ice storms affected stands less frequently, ranging in size from ten acres to thousands of acres.

### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This vegetation group can be modeled and mapped as distinct from the dry to dry-mesic oak-hickory woodlands or forests primarily based on the topographic roughness of the landscape and proximity to surrounding oak savanna, prairie and White River glades. Another distinct breaking point between this and dry-mesic oak/pine woodlands is the dominance or strong presence of warm season grasses, generally south and west-facing aspects and only moderate dissection of the landscape (ranging from gently dissected plains to moderately steep hills). This vegetation type feathers out in portions of the most deeply dissected Ozarks Section, especially around and east of the Current River. Uncharacteristic current conditions: much reduced groundcover diversity due to overgrazing, scattered remnant herbs and grasses suppressed beneath dense second growth stands of increased black oak, red cedar, hickory and red oak-lowbush blueberry, aromatic sumac. These conditions are pervasive throughout all classes. Also, observations of native grazing bison and elk in certain enclosed refuges suggests that they played an important role in shaping and modifying the character of woodlands, in conjunction with fire effects. Uncharacteristic red oak, scarlet oak, white oak and red cedar along with shrubs aromatic sumac, lowbush blueberry and buckbrush (*Symphoricarpos occidentalis*) dominate in 5-9 inch or larger mid story canopy. Canopy is near 100% closed. Fuel model 9.

### Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data  Literature  Local Data  Expert Estimate

Dry oak/bluestem woodland occurred over much of the Ozark Highlands Section of Missouri (need description for other surrounding states). Analysis of historic vegetation shows that this vegetation type ranges from small patches (<ten acres) across more deeply dissected, topographically complex subsections to matrix-sized patches (>1,000 acres) within the remaining geographic range where landforms were more gently dissected. In the aggregate, this vegetation type likely exceeded 5 million acres across the Ozarks Landscape.

## Issues/Problems

This type is mapped partly as the mosaic bluestem #39 and 45 on Bailey's map and #45 of the Oak-Hickory zone in Kuchler's Potential Natural Vegetation Groups. The Historical Vegetation Project of the Missouri Geographic Resources Center at University of Missouri, Columbia mapped this as open woodland across the Ozarks with as much as 35 to 50% covering the Ozark Highlands. For the most part, dominant grasses and forbs were the primary available fuel that dictated fire behavior. This former fuel type is mostly converted to deciduous artifact leaf litter today under an essentially closed canopy cover. Modeling attributes to map the spatial extent of this vegetation group should focus on southwest aspects, dry rocky soils, glade occurrences and association with coarse-scale historic vegetation models from UMC for shrublands, barrens and open woodlands. Larger patches of this group (1,000 acres or greater) are strongly associated with gentle to moderate undulations associated with dissected landscapes less than 150 feet in elevation change. These decrease in cover as landscapes become more deeply dissected with greater elevation changes. With respect to the coarser-scale grouping of alliances, the descriptions for all dry woodland natural communities published in Nelson 2005 (in press and available) are more accurate, descriptive and functional.

## Model Evolution and Comments

Much of this vegetation group is masked by 150 years of intense overgrazing and fire suppression resulting in a much changed composition and structure more indicative of ecosystem degradation -- especially from overgrazing. This degradation leaves impressions that current vegetation conditions are part of the expected "natural succession" from a former woodland/savanna-dominated landscape to one of natural "forest". Reviewers need to be experienced in recognizing and assessing this much-altered vegetation artifact. Reviewers recognize the 5 classes represent vegetation variation (expressed in patch size, variable effects of fire intensity and mortality) as dictated by topographic variations, soils, differences in ignition sources and characteristic variations of ecological subsections in Ozark Highlands. Suggested reviewers include: Ken McCarty, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of State Parks (573-751-8660); Doug Ladd, The Nature Conservancy-Missouri Chapter (314-968-1105). References were added as suggested by peer review.

## Succession Classes

*Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook ([www.frcc.gov](http://www.frcc.gov)).*

### Class A 15%

Early1 All Structures

#### Description

Post stand-replacing fire -- grass and oak shrub regrowth; perennial grass seedlings and forbs. Little bluestem, big bluestem, asters and goldenrods. Some of this landscape will remain permanently in an open condition due to edaphic conditions. May include scattered relict old growth trees up to 30 inches and over 200 years old. Oak sprouts make up the dominant life form.

#### Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position

SCHIZ4 Lower  
HEHI2 Lower  
ASTU2 Lower  
ANGE Lower

#### Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous  
 Shrub

Tree

Fuel Model 1

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	50 %	80 %
Height	Shrub Dwarf <0.5m	Shrub Short 0.5-0.9m
Tree Size Class	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Grasses and forbs still likely comprise most of the available fuel affecting fire behavior. Herbs will be the upper life form for approximately 15% of the landscape permanently.

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

**Class B 20%**

Mid1 Closed

**Description**

Early-seral mixed grass and oak shrub regeneration, particularly on more productive sites associated with open drainages, headwater draws, north and east-facing backshoulders, narrow ridges. Glade regions retain openness with few shrubs. This condition can succeed to C or E and move back to A.

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Low-Mid  
QUVE Low-Mid  
SCHIZ4 Lower  
HEHI2 Lower

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model 4**

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	90 %	100 %
Height	Shrub Short 0.5-0.9m	Shrub Medium 1.0-2.9m
Tree Size Class	Seedling <4.5ft	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

**Class C 30%**

Mid1 Open

**Description**

Mid-seral mixed grass and scattered groupings or individual oaks; glade openings maintained. Trees age slowly on generally dry soils and/or in competition with dense, highly diverse grass/forb structure. Tree structure is highly variable depending on topographic position. Many characteristic forbs could be included equally in the class dominant species.

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Upper  
QUVE Upper  
SCHIZ4 Lower

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model 2**

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	10 %	50 %
Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Pole 5-9" DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

A nearly 100 percent cover of warm season grasses and forbs with a few scattered shrubs make up the primary fuel type despite the presence of trees in varying canopy closures.

**Class D 30%**

Late3 Open

**Description**

Late-seral open oak woodland with continued maintained grass/forb groundcover. Some scattered oak grubs. Glades and openings on southwest-facing slopes maintained. Some mixed, widely distributed younger trees and oak grubs may be prevalent. Larger trees demonstrate occasional scars and are subject to wind disturbance. Dominance of old growth oak individuals may

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Upper  
QUVE Upper  
SCHIZ4 Lower

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model 2**

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	30 %	50 %
Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Large 21-33"DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

A nearly 100 percent cover of warm season grasses and forbs with a few scattered shrubs make up the primary fuel type despite the presence of trees in varying canopy closures.

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

decrease over several hundred years due to wind disturbance, burning out of scarred hollow trees, lightning strikes and competition with grass/forb matrix thereby reducing total canopy cover. Mid and initial late canopy dominance are likely artifacts of post settlement domestic livestock grazing and soil loss.

**Class E 5%**

Mid2 Closed

**Description**

Early-seral closed woodland. Productive areas missed by fire with thick patches of oak shrubs, sometimes interspersed with variable age and/or late seral oak groves; grasses and forbs suppressed beneath a dense leaf litter. These occur on sites missed by fire due to randomness of ignitions on an otherwise moderately dissected landscape and especially where not associated with more widespread savannas and prairies where fires could propagate over large areas in excess of 10,000 acres. Class E can succeed to some late open on a very small scale.

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Upper  
 QUVE Upper  
 VAPA4 Lower

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model 9**

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	60 %	90 %
Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Pole 5-9" DBH	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

**Disturbances**

**Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled**

- Insects/Disease
- Wind/Weather/Stress
- Native Grazing
- Competition
- Other:
- Other:

**Fire Regime Group: 1**

- I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
- III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
- V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

**Historical Fire Size (acres)**

Avg: 2000  
Min: 100  
Max: 10000

**Fire Intervals (FI):**

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

**Sources of Fire Regime Data**

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

	Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
<i>Replacement</i>	25	10	100	0.04	16
<i>Mixed</i>	100			0.01	4
<i>Surface</i>	5	2	7	0.2	80
<i>All Fires</i>	4			0.25	

**References**

Adler, P.B., D.A Raff and W.K. Lauenroth. 2001. The effect of grazing on the spatial heterogeneity of vegetation. *Oecologia* 128:465-479.

Anderson, R.C. 1990. The historic role of fire in North American grasslands. Pp. 8-18 in S.L. Collins and L.L. Wallace (eds.). *Fire in North American tallgrass prairies*. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman.

Batek, M.J., A.J. Rebertus, W.A. Schroeder, T.L. Haithcoat, E. Compas, and R.P. Guyette. 1999. Reconstruction of early nineteenth-century vegetation and fire regimes in the Missouri Ozarks. *Journal of Biogeography* 26:397-412.

Burger, J.E. Ebinger and G.S. Wilhelm (eds.). *Proceedings of the oak woods management workshop*. Eastern Illinois University, Charleston, Illinois.

Comer, P., D. Faber-Langendoen, R. Evans, S. Gawler, C. Josse, G. Kittel, S. Menard, M. Pyne, M. Reid, K. Schulz, K. Snow, and J. Teague. 2003. *Ecological systems of the United States: a working classification of U.S. terrestrial systems*. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia.

Foti, T. and S. Glenn. 1991. The Ouachita Mountains Landscape at the Time of Settlement. In D. Henderson and L. D. Hedrick, editors. *Proc.: Conference on Restoring Old Growth Forest in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma*. Winrock International, Morrilton, Ark.

Frost, C., *Presettlement Fire Frequency Regimes of the United States: A First Approximation*. Pages 70-81, May 1996., *Proceedings of the 20nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference: Fire in Ecosystem Management: Shifting the Paradigm from Suppression to Prescription*. Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Fryar, Roger D. 1991. Old Growth Stands of the Ouachita National Forest. In D. Henderson and L. D. Hedrick, editors. *Proc: Restoration of Old Growth Forest in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma*. Winrock International. Morrilton, Ark.

Fuhlendorf, S.D. and D.M. Engle 2001. Restoring heterogeneity on rangelands; ecosystem management based on evolutionary grazing patterns. *BioScience*, August 2001/Vol. 51 No. 8

Grazulis, T.P. 2001. *Tornado: nature’s ultimate windstorm*. The University of Oklahoma Press, Norman.

Guyette, R.P. and B.E. Cutter. 1991. Tree-ring analysis of fire history of a post oak savanna in the Missouri

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Ozarks. *Natural Areas Journal* 11: 93-99.

Guyette, R.P. and D.C. Dey. 2000. Humans, topography, and wildland fire: the ingredients for long-term patterns in ecosystems. Pp. 28-35 in D.A. Yaussy (ed.). *Proceedings of the workshop on fire, people, and the central hardwoods landscape*. General Technical Report NE-274. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. Radnor, Pennsylvania.

Guyette, R.P. and E.A. McGinnes, Jr. 1982. Fire history of an Ozark glade in Missouri. *Transactions of the Missouri Academy of Science* 16:85-93.

Harlan, J.D., T.A. Nigh and W.A. Schroeder. 2001. The Missouri original General Land Office survey notes project. University of Missouri, Columbia. In progress.

Kimmel, V.L. and G.E. Probasco. 1980. Change in woody cover on limestone glades between 1938 and 1975. *Transactions of the Missouri Academy of Science* 14:69-74.

Kline, V. 1997. Orchards of oak and a sea of grass. Pp. 3-22 in S. Packard and C. F. Mutel (eds.). 1997. *The tallgrass restoration handbook for prairies, savannas, and woodlands*. Society for Ecological Restoration. Island Press, Washington, D.C.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

Ladd, D. 1991. Reexamination of the role of fire in Missouri oak woodlands. Pp. 67-80 in G.V. Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. *Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora*. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Jurney, D., R. Evans, J. Ippolito, John, V. Bergstrom, 2004. The role of wildland fire in portions of southeastern Maricopa. Pages 95-116 in R.T. Engstrom, K.E. M. Galley, and W.J. de Groot (eds.). *Proceedings of the 22nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference: Fire in Montane, Boreal, and Temperate Ecosystems*, Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Ladd, D. and B. Heumann. 1994. Baseline ecological assessment of selected oak woodlands on the Houston-Rolla District, Mark Twain National Forest. U.S. Forest Service challenge cost share agreement.

Masters, R. E. 1991. Effects of fire and timber harvest on vegetation and cervid use on oak -pine sites in Oklahoma Ouachita Mountains. Pages 168-176. In S. C. Nodvin and T. A. Waldrop, (eds.). *Fire and the environment: ecological and cultural perspectives*. Proc. Of an international symposium. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-69. Southeast For. Exp. Sta., Asheville, N.C.

Masters, R. E. 1991. Effects of timber harvest and prescribed fire on wildlife habitat and use in the Ouachita Mountains of eastern Oklahoma. Ph.D. Thesis, Oklahoma State Univ. Stillwater. 351 pp.

Masters, R. E., and D. M. Engle. 1994. BEHAVE-evaluated for prescribed fire planning in mountainous oak-shortleaf pine habitats. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 22:184-191.

- Masters, R. E., D. M. Engle, and R. Robinson. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on soil chemical properties in the Ouachita Mountains. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry* 17:139-145.
- Masters, R. E., R. L. Lochmiller, and D. M. Engle. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on white-tailed deer forage production. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 21:401-411.
- Masters, R. E., R. L. Lochmiller, S. T. McMurry, and G. A. Bukenhofer. 1998. Small mammal response to pine-grassland restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 28:148-158.
- Masters, R. E., J. E. Skeen, and J. A. Garner. 1989. Red-cockaded woodpecker in Oklahoma; an update of Wood's 1974-77 Study. *Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci.* 69:27-31.
- Masters, R. E., J. E. Skeen, and J. Whitehead. 1995. Preliminary fire history of McCurtain County Wilderness Area and implications for red-cockaded woodpecker management. Pages 290-302 in D. L. Kulhavy, R. G. Hooper, and R. Costa. (eds.). *Red-cockaded woodpecker: Species recovery, ecology and management*. Center for Applied Studies, Stephen F. Austin University, Nacogdoches, TX.
- Masters, R. E., C. W. Wilson, D. S. Cram, G. A. Bukenhofer, and R. L. Lochmiller. 2002. Influence of ecosystem restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers on breeding bird and small mammal communities. Pages 73-90 in W. M. Ford, K. R. Russell, and C. E. Moorman, editors. *In The role of fire in non-game wildlife management and community restoration: traditional uses and new directions: proceedings of a special workshop*. Annual Meeting of The Wildlife Society, Nashville, Tenn. USDA For. Ser. Northeast Research Station. General Technical Report NE- 288.
- Masters, R. E., C. W. Wilson, G. A. Bukenhofer, and M. E. Payton. 1996. Effects of pinegrassland restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers on white-tailed deer forage production. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 24:77-84.
- NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA U.S. A. Data current as of January 13, 2005.
- McCarty, K. 1998. Landscape-scale restoration in Missouri savannas and woodlands. *Restoration and Management Notes* 16:22-32.
- McCarty, K. and F. Hassien. 1984. Distribution patterns of prairie plant species in a closed-canopy forest situation. Pp. 127-130 in G. Clambey and R. Pemble (eds.). *Proceedings of the ninth North American Prairie Conference. The prairie: past, present and future*. Tri-college University Center for Environmental Studies, North Dakota State University, Fargo.
- NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA U.S. A. Data current as of January 13, 2005.
- Nelson, Paul W. 2005 *The Terrestrial Natural Communities of Missouri*. Missouri Natural Areas Committee (in press).
- Nelson, P.W. and D. Ladd 1983. Preliminary report on the identification, distribution, and classification of Missouri glades. Pp. 59-76 in C.L. Kucera (ed.). *Proceedings of the seventh North American Prairie Conference*. Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield, Missouri.
- Nigh, T.A. 1992. The forests prior to European settlement. Pp. 6-13 in A.R.P. Journet and H.G. Spratt, Jr. (eds.). *Towards a vision for Missouri public forests: proceedings of a conference at Southeast Missouri State*

University, Cape Girardeau, Missouri.

Ozarks Ecoregional Assessment Team. 2003. Ozarks Ecoregional Conservation Assessment. The Nature Conservancy, Midwestern Resource Office. Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Packard, S. and C.F. Mutel (eds.). 1997. The tallgrass restoration handbook for prairies, savannas, and woodlands. Society for Ecological Restoration. Island Press, Washington, D.C.

Rebertus, A.J., S.R. Shifley, R.H. Richards and L.M. Roovers. 1997. Ice storm damage to an old-growth oak-hickory forest in Missouri. *American Midland Naturalist* 137:48-61.

Rebertus, A.J. and A.J. Meier. 2001. Blowdown dynamics in oak-hickory forests of the Missouri Ozarks. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 128(4):362-369.

Runkle, J.R. 1985. Disturbance regimes in temperate forests. Pp. 17-33 in S.T.A. Pickett and P.S. White (eds.). *The ecology of natural disturbance and patch dynamics*. Academic Press, New York.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Schoolcraft, H.R. 1821. *Journal of a tour into the interior of Missouri and Arkansas from Potosi, or Mine a Burton, in Missouri territory, in a southwest direction, toward the Rocky Mountains: performed in the years 1818 and 1819*. Richard Phillips and Company, London.

Spetich, Martin A., ed. 2004. Upland oak ecology symposium: history, current conditions, and sustainability. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-73. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 311 p.

Taft, J. 1997. Savanna and open woodland communities. Pp. 24-54 in M. Schwartz (ed.). *Conservation in highly fragmented landscapes*. Chapman and Hall, New York.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 1999. Ozark-Ouachita highlands assessment: terrestrial vegetation and wildlife. Report 5 of 5. General Technical Report SRS-35. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. Asheville, North Carolina.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/>.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Research Station, Southern Forest Resource Assessment, [Online]. Available: <http://www.srs.fs.fed.us/sustain>

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, June 1997, *Guidance for Conserving and Restoring Old-Growth Forest Communities on National Forests in the Southern Region – Report of the Region 8 Old-Growth Team*, Forestry Report R8-FR 62.